

EC Meeting 10-JUN-10

Present: B. Barish, E. Paterson, M. Ross, P. Garbincius, M. Harrison, B. Foster, N. Walker, JP Delahaye, K. Yokoya, A. Yamamoto, M. Hronek (sec)

Absent: T. Tauchi

No Guests

Minutes

24 hours for Comments

Announcements –

- PAC Report
- The PAC recommends physics and detector group involvement in the change control process. This is foreseen through the Baseline Workshops later this year, where detector group involvement has been arranged. Furthermore, we hope much of the dialog with the groups will take place in the months leading up to the workshops, so the baseline recommendation to be drafted there will be easy to formulate and clear.

Monthly Report

- Important to get info to our community
- We need comments on content and accuracy
- We need to report on what we are doing.
- Next official report in TDR so we need information to get out.
- We need to monitor the work in the monthly report
- WEBEX Monthly report important to content
- Authors should be recognized. Contact person for each section is good for questions.
- Homework is to read the PM Report each month. Feedback for the PM's is important.

Joint Detector GDE Group

- In parallel B. Foster will work with J. Dorfan to try to fix terms of reference for this group. The group set up with PMs for BAW should start/continue and perhaps will evolve into the more general group we need. BF will keep a watching brief for a while as things develop.
- BB talked to B, Willis. We got the AAP report and we reacted to it online in a meeting. Not a response but a reaction. There would be an undefined form of reporting at the next AAP Meeting.
- PAC report changes the parameters quite a bit; The AAP meets once a month in a telecon. Attendance is spotty. Meet infrequently, but the group brought a strong reaction. AAP is advisory but we do need to respond to them in some sort of way.
- PAC report should be discussed by the AAP and BB be invited. This was suggested to E. Elsen by B. Barish.
- The message from M. Ross in discussion with E. Elsen should time should be delayed?
 - o Trying to formulate on how to go forward. Do not recommend a delay but what is the purpose of participating in an AAP meeting without a proper response.
 - o The first meeting within the next month would be on the PAC report, later meeting about BAW and what will happen during the workshop.

ILCSC meeting – Paris

- BF will attend to present the Governance report as well as B. Barish with N. Walker.
- Time conflicts with presentations need to be considered with the ICHEP parallel session on future machines.

ECFA/CERN Meeting

- Priority should be on the fact that this is a joint meeting.
- MR has discussed Working group list and convener list with D. Schulte and these will go out very soon.

- Discussion on balance between parallel and plenary sessions, needs to be decided very soon. The PMs need to decide on what we need to achieve at this meeting from the ILC GDE side and how long the parallel session time needs to be in order to achieve it.

ALCPG11 – deferred

PIP

- Being exposed during talks by Harrison and Barish. Interest will pick up as we move forward.

Governance Committee

- Report has been finalized. It will be submitted to FALC for discussion after the meeting.
- When would it be useful to address in Newsline? BF agreed to swap slots with someone so he could write a Director's Corner just after ICHEP
- Schedule is:
- Be presented at ICHEP meeting parallel session then ILCSC in Paris. It would be in write-up in the ICHEP proceedings. We may need a separate write-up depending on size constraints for the proceedings. Could be public on the web the week after ICHEP and then put in Director's Corner.

-

CLIC ILC Collaborative work – n/a

- Deferred

Resource Reports

- ART Review in process at FNAL
 - o The review is going fairly well. Mike's talk – post 2012 is of concern and is very important. Key aspect of presentation.
 - o Harrison was allowed to release post 2012 numbers
 - o New panel – tough questions. (ex: SRF breakout session)
 - o J. Blazey's last meeting and we are waiting on the announcement of our new representative from DOE.
 - o Continuation of work after 2012 is to keep design and core team together but technical work was defined more prominently.
 - o The statement that the post-2012 DOE activities will be on "the ILC at a site not within the US" will gain attention.. The lack of this statement has been an issue, we've been taken off the table due to cost and this statement allows us more dialogue. If the US bids to host we will keep the option open but it is not an active conversation.
- LCSGA Report (Linear Collider Steering Group of the Americas)
 - o presentation made to HEPAP by M. Tigner

AILCSC membership

- J. Gao is new representative. He will represent Asia at ILCSC.
- Replaces Kurakawa

Resources

- Asia – n/a
- Europe- n/a

PM Report

- The Sid collaboration meeting received the positron movement very positively.
- A. Seryi presentation also very good.
- N. Walker will have interaction with ILD group sometime in July
- BAW coordinators:

- Info sent to coordinators last week and responded very prominently.
 - Meeting will be held in conjunction with EC face to face.
- Generate a PM list of things that need to accomplish at EC face to face. The writing assignments for PIP need to be discussed as well. SRF technology costing and procurement strategies will need to be discussed. PG and AY will present on this topic. Everyone should receive the R&D plan by end of the month. Work on site development. Japanese meeting was held at KEK. How does our TDR compliment the site bidding issue. E. Elsen mentioned that there may be AAP meeting present in Paris. An additional AAP meeting time was suggested.
- Homework on prep for MDI resources. Seryi has talked to everyone except India and Russia. He will meet with MDI group next week.